

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,700	10/29/2003	Yile Guo	042933/269527 (NC34982US)	5560
73658 Nokia, Inc.	7590 04/05/201	0	EXAMINER	
6021 Connection Drive, MS 2-5-520			NGUYEN, QUANG N	
Irving, TX 75	039		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2441	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/695,700 GUO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit QUANG N. NGUYEN 2441 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply	on the core of the man the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS: WHICHEVER IS IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), after SX (6) MCRITIS from the making date of the communication of vial point of vial poin	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed oby and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March	<u>2010</u> .
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action	on is non-final.
 Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa 	·
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	om consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ele	ction requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted	d or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawi	ing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is	required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 	ve been received.
Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	ocuments have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PC	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	e certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

		Notice	
21	П	Notice	n

	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application

No(s)/Mail Date	6)	Othe

Art Unit: 2441

Detailed Action

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE)

filed on 03/19/2010. Claims 1-24 remain pending for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this

application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on

03/19/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2).

of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2441

4. Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as

being anticipated by Phillips et al. (US 7,454,206).

As to claim 1, Phillips discloses an apparatus comprising:

a processor on a wireless terminal configured to receive, from an application on

the wireless terminal, a request for an identity of a user, wherein the processor is

configured to automatically select one of a plurality of identities selectable for use by the respective application, the respective identity being selected based upon the application

and at least one user preference independent of user input to the application (selection

logic for selecting one of the plurality of user identifiers, based at least in part on

the requested session type and at least one application), and wherein the processor is

configured to provide the selected identity to the application (Phillips, col. 1, line 64 -

col. 2, line 2; col. 6, lines 35-63; col. 7, lines 37-48; and col. 8, lines 4-42).

6. As to claim 2, Phillips discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein a

user naming system is configured to receive, from a trusted application, a request for an

identity of a user, and wherein the UNS is configured to select an identity further based

upon a status of the user (Phillips, col. 1, line 64 - col. 2, line 2; col. 6, lines 35-63;

col. 7, lines 37-48; and col. 8, lines 4-42).

7. As to claim 3. **Phillips** discloses the apparatus according to claim 2, wherein the

at least one user preference comprises at least one naming preference, wherein the

Art Unit: 2441

user naming system is configured to obtain a status of the user, and thereafter match the status of the user with a status of a naming preference that also includes a

predefined identity, and wherein the user naming system is configured to select the

predefined identity of the respective naming preference (For example, if a user is

engaged in a PTT session, wherein the user is identified by the user's first user

identifier, the real-time media in the PTT session may beneficially remain uninterrupted

by instant messages because instant messages may be associated with the user's

second user identifier) (Phillips, col. 8, lines 4-42).

8. As to claim 4, **Phillips** discloses the apparatus according to claim 3, wherein the

processor is configured to match the status of the user with a status of at least one

naming preference that further includes at least one application, wherein the processor

is further configured to match the application requesting the identity with an application

of one of the at least one naming preference having a matching status, and wherein the $\,$

processor is configured to select the predefined identity from the naming preference

having a matching status and having a matching application (if a user is engaged in a

PTT session, a PTT session is established using the first user identifier and in the Web

browsing case, a Web browsing session is established, using the second user identifier)

(Phillips, Fig. 3, col. 1, line 59 - col. 2, line 2; col. 6, lines 35-63; col. 7, lines 37-48;

and col. 8, lines 4-42).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/695,700

Art Unit: 2441

col. 8, lines 4-42).

9. As to claim 6, Phillips discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the processor is further configured to identify a current preferred identity based upon at least one user preference and a status of the user, wherein the processor is configured to receive, from a trusted application, a request for an identity of a user, and wherein the processor is configured to select the current preferred identity (if a user is engaged in a PTT session, a PTT session is established using the first user identifier and in the Web browsing case, a Web browsing session is established, using the second user identifier) (Phillips, col. 1, line 64 – col. 2, line 2; col. 6, lines 35-63; col. 7, lines 37-48; and

10. As to claim 7, **Phillips** discloses the apparatus according to Claim 6, wherein the at least one user preference comprises at least one naming preference, wherein the processor is configured to obtain a status of the user, and thereafter match the status of the user with a status of a naming preference that also includes a predefined identity, and wherein the processor is configured to identify the predefined identity of the respective naming preference as a current preferred identity (For example, if a user is engaged in a PTT session, wherein the user is identified by the user's first user identifier, the real-time media in the PTT session may beneficially remain uninterrupted by instant messages because instant messages may be associated with the user's second user identifier) (**Phillips, col. 8, lines 4-42**).

Art Unit: 2441

11. As to claim 8, Phillips discloses the apparatus according to Claim 7, wherein the

processor is configured to match the status of the user with a status of at least one

naming preference that further includes at least one application, wherein the processor

is configured to identify, for each application of each naming preference having a

matching status, the predefined identity of the respective naming preference as a

current preferred identity of the respective application, and wherein the processor is

configured to select the current preferred identity of an application matching the

application requesting the identity (if a user is engaged in a PTT session, a PTT session

is established using the first user identifier and in the Web browsing case, a Web

browsing session is established, using the second user identifier) (Phillips, col. 6,

lines 35-63; col. 7, lines 37-48; and col. 8, lines 4-42).

12. Claims 9-12, 14-16, 17-20 and 22-24 are corresponding method and computer

program product claims of system claims 1-4 and 6-8; therefore, they are rejected under

the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2441

14. Claims 5, 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Phillips, in view of Gabber et al. (US 5,961,593), hereinafter

"Gabber".

15. As to claim 5, Phillips discloses an apparatus according to claim 1, but does not

explicitly disclose wherein the processor is capable of one of selecting and generating

a pseudonym to thereby select an identity, and wherein the processor is capable of

providing the pseudonym.

In the same field of endeavor, Gabber teaches a proxy system generates and

provides substitute identifiers (i.e., pseudonyms), which allow users to access the

server sites anonymously via the proxy system (Gabber, page 5, line 58 - page 6, line

17 and col. 11, line 54 - col. 12, line 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to incorporate the features of being capable of one of

selecting and generating a pseudonym to thereby select an identity, and providing the

pseudonym to a non-trusted application, as disclosed by Gabber, into the teachings of

Phillips. One would be motivated to do so to allow a user to establish accounts on

web-sites without revealing his true identity, and without reusing the same user names.

passwords for multiple sites to avoid a security breach at one site to affect other sites, at

passwords for multiple sites to avoid a security breach at one site to affect other sites, at

the same time to allow the user to browse/access the Internet in a safe and private

(anonymous) manner (Gabber, page 2, lines 3-19 and lines 51-55).

Art Unit: 2441

16. Claims 13 and 21 are corresponding method claim and computer program

product claims of system claim 5; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

17. In the Remarks, Applicants argued in substance that

(A) "Applicants believe none of the cited references disclose the feature of

selecting one of a plurality of identities based upon the application and at least one user

preference independent of user input to the application as recited in Claim 1" (recited

from page 3 of the Remarks).

As to point (A), Examiner respectfully disagrees noting that Phillips teaches at

col. 1, line 59 - col. 2, line 2;

[The wireless communication device comprises a user interface for receiving an instruction to establish a requested communication session, having a requested session type, over a packet-

switched network on behalf of a user; data storage storing a plurality of user identifiers associated with the user; selection logic for selecting one of the plurality of user identifiers, based at

with the user, selection logic for <u>selecting one of the plurality or user identifiers, based</u> at least in part on the requested session type; and <u>at least one application</u> establishing the requested communication session of the requested session type. The at least one application

uses the selected user identifier to identify the user for the requested communication session.]

(Emphasis added)

Also, at col. 6, lines 39-66:

[For example, data storage 56 (of the wireless communication device 14) may store a plurality of user identifiers 60, i.e., User ID 1 through User ID n, that uniquely identify a particular user. In many cases, the user may not aware of or have access to all of the plurality of user identifiers 60. For example, the user may be aware of only User ID 1. Thus, <u>wireless communication device 14 may use different user identifiers to identify the user in different communication sessions without the user's knowledge.</u>

The machine language instructions stored in data storage 56 may include various functional components. In particular, the machine language instructions may include a plurality of applications 62, i.e., Application 1 through Application n, for different types of communication sessions. For example, applications 62 may include a Web browser for Web browsing applications, a PTT client for PTT sessions, an IM client for instant messaging sessions, and/or other applications for other types of communication sessions. As described in more detail below, different applications 62 may use different user identifies 60 to identify the user in different types of communication sessions. Although FIG. 2 shows the same number of applications 62 as user identifiers 60, data storage 56 may store a different number. For example, a given user identifiers may be used for more than one application. In addition, a given application may use different user identifiers under different circumstances.

Accordingly, data storage 56 may include User ID selection logic 64 that selects which one of user identifiers 60 to use in (a particular application for) a particular communication session.] (Emphasis added)

Examiner respectfully submits that one ordinary skilled in the art would have duly recognized that **Phillips'** mechanism does disclose selecting one of a plurality of user identities 60 based at least in part on at least one application 62 (*Phillips teaches "selecting one of the plurality of user identifiers based at least in part on the requested session type and at least one application", col. 1, lines 64-66) for the requested communication session (such as web browsing application for a web browser session or*

Art Unit: 2441

7, lines 30-32).

IM client application for an instant messaging session, etc.) without the user's knowledge (Phillips teaches "the wireless communication device 14 may [select] use different user identifiers to identify the user in different communication sessions without the user's knowledge", col. 6, lines 44-46, i.e., wherein a preferred user identifier is used for the communication session which of a particular application 62 is being invoked, col.

Therefore, Examiner respectfully submits that Phillips does teach or disclose the feature of "selecting one of a plurality of identities based upon the application and at least one user preference independent of user input to the application", as claimed.

Conclusion

- 18. Applicant's arguments as well as request for reconsideration filed on 03/19/2010 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.
- A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE (3) months from the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.134.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3886

Art Unit: 2441

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

SPE, Wing Chan, can be reached at (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the

organization is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Quang N. Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2441